AIDS Public Information Data Set

Data through December 2000

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Public Health Service
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
National Center of HIV, STD, and TB Prevention
Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention

About this Data Set

The AIDS Public Information Data Set is computer software designed to run on an Microsoft Windows microcomputer, and contains information abstracted from acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) cases reported in the United States. The data set is created each year by the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention(CDC) and contains information extracted from CDC's national AIDS surveillance data base. Suggested citation: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. AIDS Public Information Data Set, December, 2000.

In December 1995, the software was modified to add data from all metropolitan areas with 500,000 or more population, for metropolitan areas with 100,000 or more population from selected states, and for individual counties or health districts from selected states. To protect the confidentiality of the data, some information was removed from the data set. Month of death, survival time, central versus outlying portion of metropolitan areas, reporting delay adjustments for death dates, and information on individual AIDS-indicator conditions were removed from the data set. The December 1996 edition added information on patient vital status. The December 1998 edition added percentage calculation to each tabulation. Additional information is contained in the on-line help files.

The AIDS Public Information Data Set contains data in two formats. The first format consists of a rectangular data file of 16 variables extracted from CDC's national AIDS data base. One-way and two-way cross tabulations of any of these variables can be displayed on your computer screen. The second format consists of a series of state, metropolitan statistical area (MSA), and county/health district tables, containing information on 8 variables included in the rectangular data file plus a

location variable. There is one set of tables for the entire United States, one set for each state, one set for each MSA, and one set for each county/health district. The rectangular data file, without the state or MSA tables, is also available as an ASCII data file.

To request a copy of this data set, contact the Statistics and Data Management Branch, Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, Mailstop E-48, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, 30333, telephone (404) 639-2020. You can also download the software from the Internet by linking to http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/software.htm.

This manual describes the data set. It is divided into three sections and three appendices. On-line help screens provide additional information.

Section 1, *AIDS Surveillance in the United States*, describes the data collection process and the effect changes in this process may have on data analysis and interpretation. The section reviews the source of AIDS surveillance data and describes which patients are included in the CDC definition. It also discusses reporting delays and reporting completeness.

Section 2, *Data File Variables and Coding Schemes*, lists the variables included on the rectangular data file and describes each variable's coding scheme.

Section 3, *State, MSA*, *and County Tables*, describes the variables included on the state, MSA, and county/health district tables.

Appendix A: Installation, describes how to load and run this program on your computer. It also suggests computer hardware and software you can use to analyze the data.

Appendix B: Metropolitan Statistical Areas lists the MSAs included in the data set.

Appendix C: Health Districts lists the counties which comprise each health district included in the data set.

Assurance of Confidentiality

The data files on the enclosed CD contain information abstracted from acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) case reports received from state and local health departments, who voluntarily report cases of AIDS to CDC. Case reports do not include patient or physician names or other personal identifiers. The data are protected under the Assurance of Confidentiality (Sections 306 and 308(d) of the Public Health Service Act, 42 U.S.C. 242k and 242m(d)), which prohibits disclosure of any information that could be used to directly or indirectly identify patients. The statistical data contained in the AIDS Public Information Data Set are being released for public use in accordance with the assurance and do not identify patients directly, nor do they contain information that can identify patients indirectly.

AIDS Surveillance in the United States

Background

In 1981, after early reports of *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia, Kaposi's sarcoma, and other opportunistic infections in young homosexual men in Los Angeles, New York, and San Francisco, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) began surveillance for a newly recognized constellation of diseases, now termed the acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS). CDC developed a surveillance case definition for this syndrome and initially received case reports directly from health care providers and state and local health departments. As the epidemic spread, state and local health departments assumed responsibility for AIDS surveillance, and by 1985 all states had regulations requiring physicians and other health care providers to report AIDS cases directly to the state or local health department. These health departments then share the reports with CDC, which produces the national AIDS surveillance data set.

The goals of AIDS surveillance have been to monitor both trends in AIDS cases and the scope of severe morbidity due to infection with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). AIDS surveillance data are used to allocate resources for patient care, target HIV prevention programs, and evaluate the impact of public health recommendations. Advances in the understanding of the epidemiology and manifestations of HIV infection and changing diagnostic practices, however, present multiple challenges to those analyzing and interpreting the AIDS surveillance data. The following are a few examples:

- ! A wide variety of persons are at risk for HIV, including men who have sex with men, injecting drug users, person who received a transfusion or who were tissue transplant recipients before March 1985, heterosexual partners of infected persons, children born to infected mothers, and persons with mucous membrane or percutaneous exposure to blood or body fluids of infected persons (e.g., health care workers). Because men who have sex with mencomprise such a large proportion of the total number of AIDS cases, trends in this subgroup will overshadow those in other groups unless the data are examined separately. Analysis of data, without regard to specific subgroups, may conceal information or lead to misinterpretation of the data.
- In the etiologic agent of AIDS, HIV, has been identified, and diagnostic tests for infection with this virus have been developed. As a result, the surveillance of AIDS, initially dependent on the presence of certain indicator diseases specific for the infection, was expanded in 1985, 1987, and 1993 to include additional conditions (some conditions may be less specific for HIV infection) in the presence of laboratory evidence for infection, and in 1993 to include HIV-infected persons with laboratory evidence of severe immunosuppression. The addition of these conditions to the AIDS case definition has affected trends in reported AIDS cases, as well as trends in reporting of AIDS-defining opportunistic conditions.

! Diagnostic practices have changed over time and vary geographically. AIDS is now a common diagnosis in many hospitals and clinics, and definitive diagnostic tests for manifestations of HIV infection(e.g., *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia or esophageal candidiasis) may not be done. HIV testing is not available for all patients and some patients choose not to be tested. Geographic variations in diagnostic practices and surveillance procedures, and changes over time could markedly affect trends in AIDS surveillance.

Source of AIDS Surveillance Data

CDC maintains national AIDS surveillance through receipt of AIDS case reports submitted by individual state and local health departments. Health departments report cases electronically through a CDC-developed microcomputer system. All 50 states, the District of Columbia, U.S. dependencies and possessions, and independent nations in free association with the United States (Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Republic of Palau, the Republic of the Marshall Islands, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Federated States of Micronesia) report AIDS cases to CDC.

Although state and local health departments share AIDS surveillance data with CDC, the responsibility and authority for AIDS surveillance rests with the individual health departments. Like any reportable disease, the completeness of AIDS reporting reflects how actively health departments solicit case reports. Historically, disease surveillance systems have been categorized as passive or active, i.e., health departments may passively receive case reports from health care providers, depending on health care providers to know and comply with reporting requirements; or they may actively contact and interact with health care facilities or individual providers to stimulate disease reporting, sometimes directly assuming the primary responsibility of reporting cases from large or high-volume institutions.

CDC provides funding and technical assistance to health departments to actively stimulate AIDS case reporting and has encouraged them to take an active rather than passive approach to AIDS surveillance. Through surveillance cooperative agreements supported by CDC, health departments are encouraged to identify health care facilities that serve AIDS patients and work closely with these facilities to encourage reporting. They are also encouraged to send newsletters to health care providers and attend professional organization meetings, and to use other data sources to identify AIDS cases, including death certificates, laboratory reports, and tuberculosis and tumor registries. States vary in the structure and organization of their surveillance systems and, therefore, in the completeness of their case reporting (see below).

Case Definition

Before HIV was identified as the etiologic agent for AIDS, CDC defined a case of AIDS (for surveillance purposes) as a disease, at least moderately indicative of a defect in cell-mediated immunity, occurring in a person with no known cause for diminished resistance to the disease. Such diseases included *Pneumocystis carinii* pneumonia, Kaposi's sarcoma, and many other serious opportunistic infections (see *American Journal of Medicine*, March 1984, pages 493-500). Withidentificationof HIV as the causative agent for AIDS and the availability of laboratory tests to detect HIV antibody, the case definition was expanded to reflect an increased understanding of HIV infection in 1985 (see CDC's *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, June 28, 1985, pages 373-375) and in 1987 (see *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, August 14, 1987, supplement, pages 3S-15S). These revisions applied to persons with laboratory evidence for HIV infection. Among diseases added in 1985 were disseminated histoplasmosis, chronic isosporiasis, and certain non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Among those added in 1987 were extrapulmonary tuberculosis, HIV encephalopathy, and HIV wasting syndrome. In children, recurrent, serious bacterial infections were also added. In addition, the 1987 revision allowed certain indicator diseases to be diagnosed presumptively based on clinical presentation rather than "confirmed" by laboratory or diagnostic methods.

To be consistent with standards of medical care for HIV-infected persons and to more accurately reflect the number of persons with severe HIV-related immunosuppression who are at highest risk for HIV-related morbidity and most in need of close medical follow-up, the surveillance definition was expanded on January 1, 1993 (see CDC's *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, Recommendations and Reports*, December 18, 1992). This expansion includes all HIV-infected adults and adolescents who have less that 200 CD4⁺T-lymphocytes/µL or a CD4⁺ T-lymphocyte percent of total lymphocytes less than 14, or who have been diagnosed with pulmonary tuberculosis, invasive cervical cancer, or recurrent pneumonia. The addition of pulmonary tuberculosis, recurrent pneumonia, and invasive cervical cancer in HIV-infected adults and adolescents to the 23 clinical conditions listed in the 1987 surveillance definition reflects their documented or potential importance in the HIV epidemic.

While the reported incidence of AIDS increased only 3 to 4 percent as a result of the 1985 revision, the 1987 revision greatly increased the numbers of reported cases. Roughly one fourth of all adults/adolescents who were both diagnosed and reported in the year following the 1987 revision were reported based only on the additional criteria included in the 1987 revision. Furthermore, the proportion of cases meeting only the revised criteria was higher in Hispanics and non-Hispanic blacks than in non-Hispanic whites, higher in heterosexual injecting drug users, and lower in men who have sex with men. The 1993 revision has had substantial impact on the number of reported cases. The immediate increase in case reporting was largely attributed to the addition of severe immunosuppression to the definition; a smaller impact was due to the addition of pulmonary tuberculosis, recurrent pneumonia, and invasive cervical cancer, since many persons with these diseases also have a CD4⁺ T-lymphocyte count of less than 200 cells/µL. The early effects of expanded surveillance were greater than long-term effects because prevalent as well as incident cases of immunosuppression were reported after implementation of the expanded surveillance case definition. In

recent years, the effect on the number of reported cases has been smaller. Due to the large number of cases reported based on criteria in only the revised case definitions and to the inconsistent use of the revised case definitions in different populations, analyses of trends in AIDS cases must take these revisions into account.

Case report form

Separate case report forms are used for pediatric patients (patients less than 13 years of age at the time of diagnosis) and adult/adolescent patients (patients 13 years of age or older at the time of diagnosis). Although the forms are similar, the pediatric form includes behavioral risk information on the child's mother. These forms are completed by the health care provider or by the AIDS surveillance staff in the local or state health department. In addition, a laboratory report of an AIDS-defining condition sent to health departments may initiate a case report. In these cases, follow-up with the health care provider is required to obtain complete information.

Names are retained by the state or local health department and are converted to an alpha-numeric code called "soundex" for use by CDC. CDC does not receive names of persons with AIDS. Because more than one state may report an individual case, CDC screens reported cases by soundex code, date of birth, sex, and state of residence to cull presumed duplicate reports. States also cooperate in this process by reporting out-of-jurisdiction cases to the patient's state of residence.

The variables available on the AIDS data set are listed in the next section. However, a few deserve special comment.

- ! Vital status. Patients survive for a variable amount of time following the diagnosis of AIDS. Because death usually occurs after the initial report to CDC, case reports may not be updated to reflect the change in vital status. As a result, reporting of deaths among AIDS patients may be delayed or incomplete. However, states are required to perform periodic reviews of death certificates and state death registries to identify unreported cases, and to update vital status of known cases. In addition, 16 states participated in a special project to match their case registries to the National Death Index to assess the completeness of reporting and to identify deaths among cases that died out-of-jurisdiction.
- **!** Exposure category. Some patients may have more than one mode of exposure to HIV. For surveillance purposes, AIDS cases are counted only once in a hierarchy of exposure categories. Persons with more than one reported mode of exposure are listed in the category that appears first in the exposure hierarchy, except for men with both a history of sexual contact with other men and injecting drug use. They make up a separate exposure category.
- ! AIDS definition category. Patients may develop additional conditions indicative of AIDS after

their initial AIDS diagnosis. The case report form may not be updated to reflect additional conditions. Some persons reported as meeting only the immunologic criteria may have concurrent or prior opportunistic infections or conditions that are not included in the case report. Therefore, cases reported as meeting only the criteria added to the case definition in 1993 may include persons who meet the criteria in 1987 definition.

! Date of diagnosis. CDC collects dates of diagnosis for each AIDS-indicator disease, and, for patients with severe immunosuppression, the date of the CD4⁺ T-lymphocyte test. From this information, a single date of diagnosis is calculated for each patient; it is the earliest of these dates.

Delay in Reporting

The timeliness of AIDS case reporting to CDC is dependent on a number of factors, including the volume of cases reported from a state or locality, the cooperation of health care providers and medical institutions, the availability of staff to complete case report forms, and changes in the case definition. In many instances initial case reports are incomplete and require additional follow-up by state and local health department staff, including reviews of other record systems and contact with health care providers.

Based on estimates calculated using AIDS surveillance data reported between 1995 and 2000, about 50 percent of all cases were reported to CDC within 4 months of the date of diagnosis, but about 20 percent were reported more than 1 year after diagnosis. Delays vary widely among geographic, age, exposure, sex, and racial/ethnic categories. They are substantially longer for pediatric cases and shorter for AIDS cases previously reported with HIV infection, for example. Due to the reporting delay, the number of cases diagnosed during any period often exceeds the number reported during that period. This is particularly important in examining trends over time, since many cases in recent periods of time will not yet be reported.

To account for delays in the reporting of cases, the variable *adjwgt* is included in the data set. This variable may be used to weight each case on the data set and obtain adjusted case counts. For example, summing *adjwgt* for cases would estimate the number of cases diagnosed through the time period covered by the data set that will eventually be reported to CDC. To use this variable, select the adjustment weight option from the *Tools* menu. Once you turn the option on, all subsequent tabulations will be adjusted for reporting delay. The adjustment weight and resulting tabulations are not reliable for cases diagnosed during the most recent 6 to 9 months.

Effect of CD4 Reporting on AIDS Case Trends

As a result of the case definition change in 1993, trends in AIDS case counts showed an artifactual peak early in 1993, even after adjustment for reporting delay. To examine trends over time using

a constant case definition, i.e., diagnoses of opportunistic illnesses that were included in the 1987 or the 1993 case definition, CDC developed methods that estimated incidence of 1987 or 1993 definition opportunistic infections for cases that met only the 1993 immunologic (CD4⁺) criteria. These estimates showed that the number of diagnoses of AIDS-defining opportunistic infections increased during 1992 and 1993 by approximately 2 percent and 3 percent, respectively (see *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, November 18, 1994). The temporary distortion of the AIDS incidence curve caused by the 1993 expansion of the AIDS case definition had almost entirely waned by 1996.

Effect of Therapy on AIDS Incidence

Continuing the pattern first observed from 1995 to 1996, AIDS incidence decreased again from 1996 to 1997 and from 1997 to 1998. These decreases are mostly due to the effect of therapies for HIV infection and AIDS, which have altered the natural history of HIV infection and slowed progression to AIDS. AIDS incidence increasingly represents persons who were not diagnosed with HIV infection until they developed AIDS, persons who did not access treatment, or persons for whom treatment failed. Caution should be used when interpreting trends in AIDS incidence; the contribution of these effects to the AIDS incidence curve is currently being evaluated. See *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, September 19, 1997 and April 24, 1998.

Early Reporting Dates

Before 1990, CDC occasionally received reports on patients before they met the CDC AIDS case definition. If such patients were later diagnosed with AIDS, the diagnosis date on their record (when they first met the CDC definition) would be after the report date (when CDC first received information about the patient). Such records should be excluded from certain analyses, such as survival analysis and analysis of reporting delay. CDC's AIDS surveillance data base no longer receives reports on patients who do not meet the AIDS case definition.

Follow-up of Reported AIDS Cases

AIDS case records maintained at CDC contain all information reported to date from state and local health departments. As patients progress through their illness, additional conditions may be reported, or the patient's vital status may change. However, not all health departments have the resources to routinely follow-up patients for additional information. For this reason and because many patients move out of the reporting health department's jurisdiction, CDC records do not always contain all current information for each patient.

AIDS cases reports that do not include mode of HIV exposure information are routinely followed up by state and local health departments. As of December 1999, excluding cases which were not yet investigated, mode of exposure information has been identified for 78 percent of cases. Twenty-one percent of cases were closed with incomplete information because the patient died, declined interview, or was lost to follow-up; 1 percent of cases remained without a reported risk for HIV infection after complete investigation (see Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report*, 1999;11(no.2):27). The demographic profile of persons who remain without risk information is more similar to that of other persons reported with AIDS than with the general U.S. population.

Evaluation of AIDS Surveillance

Cases of AIDS may not be reported to CDC for a variety of reasons. The diagnostic tests needed to confirm the diagnosis of certain AIDS-indicator conditions may not be performed, or physicians and hospital personnel may fail to report cases to the health department. Further, some patients with HIV disease may be ill or die from diseases or conditions not included in the current AIDS surveillance definition or from causes unrelated to their HIV infection.

Both CDC and state and local health departments have commissioned a variety of studies to evaluate the completeness of AIDS surveillance. Most evaluation projects have used alternate data resources if they are independent of routine case finding, such as death certificates, hospital discharge records, and laboratory records. Individual records from these alternate sources have then been matched against records in AIDS surveillance data bases. If an alternative source is found to be a productive source of case reports, it may be added to routine case finding methods. Evaluation projects have varied in size and scope (e.g., varying numbers of ICD-9 codes from death certificates or computerized discharge records), geographic area covered, detection of both inpatient and outpatient cases, and time frames. In general, evaluation studies suggest that reporting of AIDS cases is fairly complete; but, depending on the setting and evaluation method used, the level of reporting completeness may vary. High prevalence areas for AIDS appear to have more complete reporting than low prevalence areas. Following implementation of active case finding under the 1987 case definition, with funding support from CDC, completeness of case reporting increased in most areas and was estimated to be more than 85 percent complete (see *Journal of Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome*, 1992;5:257-64 and *American Journal of Public Health* 1992;82:1495-99).

Summary

Public health surveillance represents an ongoing and regular collection, analysis, interpretation, and application of health data for disease prevention and control. AIDS surveillance, like other national surveillance efforts, depends on health care providers and the state and local health departments and, thus,

requires a balance between information needs versus practical limitations. AIDS surveillance in the United States represents an unprecedented public health enterprise and has achieved an unusually high degree of completeness. In addition, surveillance has changed as understanding of AIDS and HIV infection have grown. Users of the public information data set should be familiar with the characteristics of public health surveillance in general as well as with the evolution of AIDS surveillance.

Data File Variables and Coding Schemes

The rectangular data file included in the *AIDS Public Information Data Set* contains one line of data for each AIDS case reported to CDC. Each line contains 35 columns. The columns contain 16 variables extracted from CDC's national AIDS data set.

| Column | Variable | Description |
|--------|----------|--|
| 1 | age | Age group at diagnosis of the first AIDS-indicator opportunistic condition |
| 2 | sexclass | Sexual classification of patient |
| 3 | race | Race of patient |
| 4 | categ | Indicates which of the CDC AIDS case revisions the patient meets |
| 5-10 | dxdate | Month of diagnosis of first AIDS-indicator opportunistic condition |
| 11-16 | repdate | Date when CDC first received information about the case |
| 17 | death | Vital status of patient |
| 18-19 | exposure | Mode of exposure to HIV |
| 20 | multrisk | Indicates if patient had more than one risk of exposure to HIV |
| 21 | birth | Country of birth |
| 22 | sexbi | Sex with a bisexual man (women only) |
| 23 | sexiv | Sex with an injecting drug user |
| 24 | sexother | Sex with a person with hemophilia or with a transfusion recipient |
| 25 | sexhiv | Sex with a person known to be infected with HIV or to have AIDS, |
| | | but whose mode of exposure is unknown |
| 26-31 | adjwgt | Reporting delay adjustment weight |
| 32-35 | msa | Region of residence at diagnosis of AIDS |
| | | |

Each of these variables is coded alpha-numerically. The codes used in the AIDS Public Information Data Set are described below.

Age (column 1)

This variable contains the patient's age when he or she was first diagnosed with an AIDS-indicator disease.

```
0 = Less than 1 year old
```

1 = 1 to 12 years old

2 = 13 to 19 years old

3 = 20 to 24 years old

4 = 25 to 29 years old

5 = 30 to 34 years old

6 = 35 to 39 years old or age is missing

7 = 40 to 44 years old

8 = 45 to 49 years old

9 = 50 to 54 years old

A=55 to 59 years old

B = 60 to 64 years old

C = 65 years old or older

Sexclass (column 2)

Adult/adolescent males are classified according to their sexual orientation.

- 1 = Adult/adolescent male who has sex only with other men or sex is missing, or sexual orientation is missing
- 2 = Adult/adolescent male who has sex with both men and women
- 3 = Adult/adolescent heterosexual male or pediatric male
- 4 = Female (both adult/adolescent and pediatric)

Race (column 3)

- 1 = White (not Hispanic)
- 2 = Black (not Hispanic)
- 3 = Hispanic
- 4 = Asian/Pacific Islander
- 5 = American Indian/Alaskan Native
- 9 = Unknown

Categ (column 4)

This variable reflects changes made over time to the CDC surveillance definition for AIDS. Only cases meeting the current (1993) surveillance definition are included in this data set. *Categ* indicates whether the patient also met the pre-1985, 1985, or 1987 surveillance definition, and whether the diagnosis, if it meets the 1987 or 1993 definition, was definitive or presumptive. Cases that meet more than one of these surveillance definitions are classified into the category listed first. For more information about the 1993 definition, see *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, December 18, 1992, Recommendations and Reports.

- 1 = Case meets the pre-1985 surveillance definition
- 2 = Case meets the 1985 surveillance definition
- 3 = Case meets the 1987 surveillance definition and was diagnosed definitively
- 4 = Case meets the 1987 surveillance definition and was diagnosed presumptively
- 5 = Case meets the 1993 surveillance definition: pulmonary tuberculosis, recurrent pneumonia, and/or cervical cancer (definitive diagnosis)
- 6 = Case meets the 1993 surveillance definition: pulmonary tuberculosis and/or recurrent pneumonia (presumptive diagnosis)
- 7 = Case meets the 1993 surveillance definition, severe HIV-related immunosuppression

Dxdate (columns 5 through 10)

This variable contains the year and month in which the first AIDS-indicator condition was diagnosed. Columns 5 through 8 contain the year; columns 9 and 10 contain the month. Cases diagnosed before 1982 are coded as "198199." Cases whose month of diagnosis is unknown are coded as "99" in the month portion of this variable.

Repdate (columns 11 through 16)

This variable contains the year and month in which CDC received the case report. Columns 11 through 14 contain the year; columns 15 and 16 contain the month. Cases reported during 1981 are coded as "198199."

Death (column 17)

- 0 = CDC has not received a death notification for this case
- 1 = CDC has been notified that this patient died

Patients diagnosed during the 2 most recent years are coded as "0" regardless of the patient's vital status. AIDS prevalence rates calculated for the most recent two-year period should be interpreted with caution. The rates calculated will be artificially high because all persons diagnosed in this period are coded with a vital status of "0" (alive), even if a death has been reported to CDC for that person. This is to prevent inadvertent indirect identification of any record by linking a death date inferred from this data set to other publically available data sets which contain death dates on individuals. For more information on trends in AIDS, see *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, September 19, 1997 and April 24, 1998.

Exposure (columns 18 and 19)

For surveillance purposes, AIDS cases are counted only once in a hierarchy of exposure categories. Persons with more than one reported mode of exposure to HIV are classified in the exposure category listed first in the hierarchy, except for men with both a history of sexual contact with other men and injecting drug use. They make up a separate exposure category. Persons with multiple reported modes of exposure are indicated in the variable *multrisk*.

"Men who have sex with men" cases include men who report sexual contact with other men (i.e., homosexual contact) and men who report sexual contact withboth men and women (i.e., bisexual contact). "Heterosexual contact" cases are in persons who report specific heterosexual contact with a person with, or at increased risk for, HIV infection (e.g., an injecting drug user).

Adults/adolescents born, or who had sex withsomeone born, in a country where heterosexual transmission was believed to be the predominant mode of HIV transmission (formerly classified as Pattern-II countries by the World Health Organization) are no longer classified as having heterosexually acquired AIDS. Similar to case reports for other persons who are reported without behavioral or transfusion risks for HIV, these reports are now classified (in the absence of other risk information which would classify them into another exposure category) as "no risk reported or identified" (see *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, March 11, 1994). Children whose mother was born, or whose mother had sex with someone born, in a Pattern-II country are now classified (in the absence of other risk information which would classify them into another exposure category) as "Mother with/at risk for HIV infection: has HIV infection, risk not specified."

"Risk not reported or identified" cases are in persons with no reported history of exposure to HIV through any of the routes listed in the hierarchy of exposure categories. Risk not reported or identified cases include persons who are currently under investigation by local health department officials; persons whose exposure history is incomplete because they died, declined to be interviewed, or were lost to follow-up; and persons who were interviewed or for whom other follow-up information was available and no exposure mode was identified. Persons who have an exposure mode identified at the time of follow-up are reclassified into the appropriate exposure category.

Adult/adolescent exposure categories

- 1 = Men who have sex with men
- 2 =Injecting drug use
- 3 = Men who have sex with men and inject drugs

- 4 = Hemophilia/coagulation disorder
- 5 = Heterosexual contact with a person with, or at increases risk for, HIV infection
- 7 = Receipt of blood transfusion, blood components, or tissue
- 8 = Risk not reported or identified

Pediatric exposure categories

- 9 = Hemophilia/coagulation disorder
- 10 = Mother with, or at risk for, HIV infection
- 11 = Receipt of blood transfusion, blood components, or tissue
- 12 = Risk not reported or identified

Multrisk (column 20)

Multrisk is coded only for adult/adolescent patients (13 years old or older) and indicates if the patient has risk(s) of exposure to HIV other than the one indicated by *exposure*.

- 0 = Patient's only mode of exposure to HIV is that indicated by *exposure*
- 1 =Patient has additional risk(s) of exposure
- 2 = Patient's mode of exposure is not reported or identified

Birth (column 21)

- 1 = Patient was born in the United States or its dependencies and possessions, or place of birth was not specified
- 2 = Patient was born outside the United States

Heterosexual risk information (columns 22 through 25)

These variables (*sexbi*, *sexiv*, *sexother*, and *sexhiv*) contain additional exposure information for patients infected heterosexually. All 4 variables are coded as follows:

- 0 = no
- 1 = yes
- 9 = missing/unknown

The variable *sexbi* is coded only for women (for men, the variable contains a blank). All 4 variables contain "9" (missing/unknown) for patients with hemophilia, regardless of whether the exposure information is in

fact unknown. This restriction is necessary in order to comply with the Assurance of Confidentiality on page 5. Of the 4,596 AIDS cases reported through December 1995 among adults/adolescents with hemophilia, less than 4 percent also reported heterosexual contact with a person at increased risk for AIDS or HIV infection.

Adjwgt (columns 26 through 31)

This variable contains an adjustment weight which, when used as a weighting variable in a frequency tabulation, produces tabulations of AIDS cases that are adjusted for delays in case reporting (see page 11 for a discussion of delays in reporting). The weights are based on estimated reporting delay distributions that take into account exposure, geographic, and demographic variations in case reporting. The adjustment weights and the resulting tabulations are not reliable for cases diagnosed during the most recent 6 months. The *Tools* menu contains an adjusted weight option. If you select this option, all subsequent tabulations you request will be weighted accordingly.

MSA (columns 32 through 35)

Metropolitan area of residence at diagnosis of AIDS is identified for adult/adolescent patients residing in MSAs with 500,000 or more population, according to the latest available official U.S. Bureau of Census estimates. Each MSA is identified by a 4-digit code listed in Appendix B. For adult/adolescent patients residing in an MSA with less than 500,000 population, in a non-metropolitan area, or whose metropolitan area of residence is unknown, and for all pediatric patients, region of residence is identified. The regional codes are:

- 1 = Northeast: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont
- 2 = Midwest: Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin
- 3 = South: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and West Virginia
- 4 = West: Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Hawaii, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming
- 5 = U.S. dependencies, possessions, and independent nations in free association with the United States: Guam, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands, and the U.S. Pacific Islands listed on page 8.

State, MSA, and County Tables

In addition to the rectangular data file discussed in section 2, the *AIDS Public Information Data Set* contains tabular data by state, metropolitan area, and county or health district. These tables consist of frequency tables and 2-way cross tabulations of 8 variables extracted from CDC's national AIDS surveillance data set. For counties or health districts, the data set contains only 1-way tables of 3 variables *age*, *race/ethnicity*, and *sex*). The data set contains one set of tables for the entire United States, one set for each state and for the District of Columbia, one set for each MSA, and one set for each county or health district. All MSAs with 500,00 or more population are included in the data set. Selected MSAs with populations between 100,000 and 500,000, and selected counties or health districts are included in the data set, based on the data release policies of the individual states.

Data from MSAs with populations between 100,000 and 500,000 are included from Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

Data from individual counties are included from Arkansas, Delaware, Georgia, Hawaii, Indiana, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Washington.

Data from health districts are included from Florida, Idaho, Kentucky, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia.

See Appendix B for a list of MSAs. See Appendix C for a list of counties which comprise each health district.

The variables included in the state and MSA tables are:

| Variable | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| age categ dth_hyr | Age group at diagnosis of the first AIDS-indicator condition Indicates which of the CDC AIDS case revisions the patient meets Half-year of death for patients reported dead |
| dx_hyr | Half-year of diagnosis of first AIDS-indicator condition |
| ent_hyr | Half-year in which CDC first received information about the case |
| exposure | Mode of exposure to HIV |
| race/ethnicity | Race/ethnicity of patient |
| sex | Sex of patient |

For counties and health districts, 1-way tables are available for the variables *age*, *race/ethnicity*, and *sex*. The values used for the variables are printed below.

Age

This variable contains the patient's age when he or she was first diagnosed with an AIDS-indicator condition. Ages printed in the documentation file are grouped as follows:

0 - 1

1 - 12

13 - 19

20 - 29

30 - 39

40 - 49

50 - 59

60 +

Categ

This variable reflects revisions made to the CDC surveillance definition for AIDS. Only cases meeting the current (1993) surveillance definition are included in the data set. *Categ* indicates whether the patient also meets the pre-1985, 1985, or 1987 surveillance definition, and whether the diagnosis, if it meets the 1987 or 1993 definition, was definitive or presumptive. Cases that meet more than one of these surveillance definitions are classified into the definition category listed first. For more information about the 1993 definition, see *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, *Recommendations and Reports*, December 18, 1992.

- 1 = Case meets the pre-1985 surveillance definition
- 2 = Case meets the 1985 surveillance definition
- 3 = Case meets the 1987 surveillance definition and was diagnosed definitively
- 4 = Case meets the 1987 surveillance definition and was diagnosed presumptively
- 5 = Case meets the 1993 surveillance definition: pulmonary tuberculosis, recurrent pneumonia, and/or cervical cancer (definitive diagnosis)
- 6 = Case meets the 1993 surveillance definition: pulmonary tuberculosis and/or recurrent pneumonia (presumptive diagnosis)
- 7 = Cases meets the 1993 surveillance definition: severe HIV-related immunosuppression

Dth_hyr

For patients whose death has been reported to CDC, this variable contains the half-year of death. The first four numbers indicate the year; the last two indicate the first or second half of that year. For example, the value "198802" indicates that the patient died in the second half of 1988. Patients whose death has been reported to CDC, but whose date of death is unknown are coded as "999999".

Dx_hyr

This variable contains the half-year in which the first AIDS-indicator condition was diagnosed. The first four numbers indicate the year; the last two indicate the first or second half of that year.

Ent_hyr

This variable contains the half-year in which CDC received the case report. The first four numbers indicate the year; the last two indicate the first or second half of that year.

Exposure

For surveillance purposes, AIDS cases are counted only once in a hierarchy of exposure categories. Persons with more than one reported mode of exposure to HIV are classified in the exposure category listed first in the hierarchy, except for men with both a history of sexual contact with other men and injecting drug use. They make up a separate exposure category.

"Men who have sex with men" cases include men who report sexual contact with other men (i.e., homosexualcontact) and men who report sexual contact with both men and women (i.e., bisexualcontact). "Heterosexual contact" cases are in persons who report specific heterosexual contact with a person with, or at increased risk for, HIV infection (e.g., an injecting drug user).

Adults/adolescents born, or who had sex with someone born, in a country where heterosexual transmission was believed to be the predominant mode of HIV transmission (formerly classified as Pattern-II countries by the World Health Organization) are no longer classified as having heterosexually acquired AIDS. Similar to case reports for other persons who are reported without behavioral or transfusion risks for HIV, these reports are now classified (in the absence of other risk information which would classify them into another exposure category) as "no risk reported or identified" (see *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*,

March 11, 1994). Children whose mother was born, or whose mother had sex with someone born, in a Pattern-II country are now classified (in the absence of other risk information which would classify them into another exposure category) as "Mother with/at risk for HIV infection: has HIV infection, risk not specified."

"Risk not reported or identified" cases are in persons with no reported history of exposure to HIV through any of the routes listed in the hierarchy of exposure categories. Risk not reported or identified cases include persons who are currently under investigation by local health department officials; persons whose exposure history is incomplete because they died, declined to be interviewed, or were lost to follow-up; and persons who were interviewed or for whom other follow-up information was available and no exposure mode was identified. Persons who have an exposure mode identified at the time of follow-up are reclassified into the appropriate exposure category.

- 01 = Men who have sex with men
- 02 =Injecting drug use
- 03 = Men who have sex with men and inject drugs
- 04 = Adult/adolescent hemophilia/coagulation disorder
- 05 = Heterosexual contact with a person with, or at increased risk for, HIV infection
- 07 = Adult/adolescent receipt of blood transfusion, blood components, or tissue
- 08 = Adult/adolescent risk not reported or identified
- 09 = Pediatric hemophilia/coagulation disorder
- 10 = Mother with, or at risk for, HIV infection
- 11 = Pediatric receipt of blood transfusion, blood components, or tissue
- 12 = Pediatric risk not reported or identified

Race/ethnicity

- 1 = White (not Hispanic)
- 2 = Black (not Hispanic)
- 3 = Hispanic
- 4 = Asian/Pacific Islander
- 5 = American Indian/Alaskan Native
- 9 = Unknown

Sex

- 1 = Male
- 2 = Female

Small Cell Restriction

In accordance with CDC guidelines on protecting confidentiality and with an agreement made with state and local health departments for release of these data, entries whose value is <u>3 or less</u> are not included in the tables. In addition, the *AIDS Public Information Data Set* software allows you to combine data from more than one state, MSA, or county/health district in either separate or aggregate form. If you select the aggregate option, each count may be off by an amount equal to 3 times the number of states/MSAs/counties aggregated. For example, if you select data from California, Washington State, and Oregon, each count may be off by as many as 9 cases (3 times the number of states, in this case 3).

Appendix A: Installation

In 1997, The AIDS Public Information Data Set was rewritten to be fully Windows compatible. While much of the original program design remained unchanged, many features were added, cursor and mouse controls were enhanced, and the installation procedure changed to reflect Windows conventions. Changes to the software are more fully described in the on-line help screens. The December 1999 edition contains the changes made in 1997. As with previous releases, the software allows you to display simple statistics without additional software such SAS, SPSS, BMDP, or PRODAS. More complex analyses, however, require statistical software.

To transfer the data to another software package for analysis, you may wish to download only the ASCII version. You may also load the software and use the export option (under *File*) to extract the records and variables you wish to analyze. The export option will create an ASCII data file, which can then be processed by other software.

Loading the Software

The AIDS Public Information Data Set is available on CD, as part of the CDC HIV/AIDS Information Guide, or can be downloaded from CDC's World Wide Web. Installation instructions vary, depending on the medium you are using.

Minimum requirements for installation are:

- * Windows 95 or greater
- * 80486 CPU
- * 420 K of free RAM
- * 50Mb of free disk space

To install the software from CDC's web site

- 1) Download the self-extracting file (PidsInst.exe) to desired directory (i.e., C:\AIDSPIDS).
- 2) Click on Start and Run. Using the Browse feature, locate and run PIDSInst.EXE.

You may change the drive and directory to which the AIDS Public Information Data Set will be extracted.

If you want to be able to run the program from the Start Menu, be sure "Create program group(s): AIDS Public Information Data Set" is checked.

- 3) Click on Extract.
- 4) After the program has been extracted, double click on the AIDS Public Information Data Set icon to run it. The first time you run it, it will perform a setup/indexing process that will take up to a few minutes to complete.
- 5) In order to save disk space, the file PIDSINST.exe can be deleted.

To load the software from the CD, insert the disk into the reader. The software will automatically display the initial screen for the *CDC HIV/AIDS Information Guide*. To access the *AIDS Public Information Data Set*, first select menu item 7, "Software." Then select *AIDS Public Information Data Set*. Finally, select "Download PidsInst.exe." This selection will initiate the software installation procedure described above. Simply proceed with steps 1 through 5, above.

Getting Help

The AIDS Public Information Data Set uses standard Windows interfaces, and can be mastered with minimum effort. On-line help screens describe how to use the program to display information. You can access help by pressing the $\langle FI \rangle$ key, by clicking the right (secondary) mouse button, or by selecting the Help menu. The information displayed will vary depending upon the last option you accessed. If you need additional information, contact the Statistics and Data Management Branch, Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, telephone (404) 639-2020.

Displaying the Menus

Once you complete the installation procedure and run the program, you will see a screen with four options displayed on the upper-left corner: *File*, *Tools*, *Window*, and *Help*. Select *File* to display data from either the main data file or from the state, MSA, or county tables. A second screen will display so that you may select the variables you wish to tabulate. Select *Tools* to create indexes or set various options that control the display of data. Select *Window* to scroll through the tables you have created. Select *Help* to see further information on how to use this program.

Cursor Control

Cursor control uses a standard Windows interface. Select variables by double-clicking the left (primary) mouse button or the *Enter* key. An asterisk will display next to the fields you have selected. Menus and

Appendix B: Metropolitan Statistical Areas

Definitions for MSAs are issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to be used in presentation of statistics by agencies of the federal government. The metropolitan areas used on the *AIDS Public Information Data Set* are the MSAs for all areas except the 6 New England states. For these states, the New England County Metropolitan Areas (NECMA, also defined by OMB) are used. Metropolitan areas are named for a central city in the MSA or NECMA and may include several counties and cross state boundaries.

The AIDS Public Information Data Set contains data from all MSAs with 500,000 or more population, and from MSAs with 100,000 to 500,000 population from Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Deleware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wyoming.

| Code | Metropolitan areas with 500,000 or more population |
|------|--|
| 80 | Akron, Ohio |
| 160 | Albany-Schenectady, N.Y. |
| 200 | Albuquerque, N.Mex. |
| 240 | Allentown, Pa. |
| 440 | Ann Arbor, Mich. |
| 520 | Atlanta, Ga. |
| 640 | Austin, Tex. |
| 680 | Bakersfield, Calif. |
| 720 | Baltimore, Md. |
| 760 | Baton Rouge, La. |
| 875 | Bergen-Passaic, N.J. |
| 1000 | Birmingham, Ala. |
| 1123 | Boston, Mass. |
| 1280 | Buffalo, N.Y. |
| 1520 | Charlotte, N.C. |
| 1600 | Chicago, Ill. |
| 1640 | Cincinnati, Ohio |
| 1680 | Cleveland, Ohio |
| 1840 | Columbus, Ohio |
| 1920 | Dallas, Tex. |

```
2000
              Dayton, Ohio
2080
              Denver, Colo.
2160
              Detroit, Mich.
Code
              Metropolitan areas with 500,000 or more population
2320
              El Paso, Tex.
2680
              Fort Lauderdale, Fla.
              Fort Worth, Tex.
2800
2840
              Fresno, Calif.
2960
              Gary, Ind.
              Grand Rapids, Mich.
3000
3120
              Greensboro, N.C.
3160
              Greenville, S.C.
              Harrisburg, Pa.
3240
3283
              Hartford, Conn.
3320
              Honolulu, Hawaii
3360
              Houston, Tex.
3480
              Indianapolis, Ind.
3600
              Jacksonville, Fla.
3640
              Jersey City, N.J.
3760
              Kansas City, Mo.
3840
              Knoxville, Tenn.
4120
              Las Vegas, Nev.
4400
              Little Rock, Ark.
4480
              Los Angeles, Calif.
4520
              Louisville, Ky.
4880
              McAllen, Tex.
4920
              Memphis, Tenn.
5000
              Miami, Fla.
5015
              Middlesex, N.J.
5080
              Milwaukee, Wis.
5120
              Minneapolis-Saint Paul, Minn.
              Mobile, Ala.
5160
5190
              Monmouth-Ocean City, N.J.
5360
              Nashville, Tenn.
5380
              Nassau-Suffolk, N.Y.
5483
              New Haven, Conn.
5560
              New Orleans, La.
```

5600

5640

New York, N.Y. Newark, N.J.

```
5720
              Norfolk, Va.
5775
              Oakland, Calif.
5880
              Oklahoma City, Okla.
5920
              Omaha, Nebr.
5945
              Orange County, Calif.
5960
              Orlando, Fla.
Code
              Metropolitan areas with 500,000 or more population
6160
              Philadelphia, Pa.
6200
              Phoenix, Ariz.
6280
              Pittsburgh, Pa.
6440
              Portland, Oreg.
6483
              Providence, R.I.
6640
              Raleigh-Durham, N.C.
6760
              Richmond, Va.
6780
              Riverside-San Bernardino, Calif.
              Rochester, N.Y.
6840
6920
              Sacramento, Calif.
7040
              Saint Louis, Mo.
7160
              Salt Lake City, Utah
7240
              San Antonio, Tex.
7320
              San Diego, Calif.
7360
              San Francisco, Calif.
7400
              San Jose, Calif.
7440
              San Juan, P.R.
7510
              Sarasota, Fla.
7560
              Scranton, Pa.
7600
              Seattle, Wash.
8003
              Springfield, Mass.
8120
              Stockton, Calif.
8160
              Syracuse, N.Y.
8200
              Tacoma, Wash.
              Tampa-Saint Petersburg, Fla.
8280
8400
              Toledo, Ohio
8520
              Tucson, Ariz.
8560
              Tulsa, Okla.
8735
               Ventura, Calif.
8840
               Washington, D.C.
8960
               West Palm Beach, Fla.
```

Wichita, Kans.

9040

| 9160 9243 | Wilmington, Del. Worcester, Mass. |
|--------------|---|
| 9320 | Youngstown, Ohio |
| Code | Metropolitan areas with 100,000 to 500,000 population |
| 40 | Abilene, Tex. |
| 120 | Albany, Ga. |
| Code | Metropolitan areas with 100,000 to 500,000 population |
| 220 | Alexandria, La. |
| 280 | Altoona, Pa. |
| 320 | Amarillo, Tex. |
| 480 | Asheville, N.C. |
| 500 | Athens, Ga. |
| 560 | Atlantic-Cape May, N.J. |
| 600 | Augusta, Ga. |
| 733 | Bangor, Maine |
| 840 | Beaumont, Tex. |
| 860 | Bellingham, Wash. |
| 870 | Benton Harbor, Mich |
| 880 | Billings, Mont. |
| 920 | Biloxi, Miss. |
| 1020 | Bloomington, Ind. |
| 1040 | Bloomington, Ill. |
| 1080 | Boise, Idaho |
| 1125 | Boulder, Colo. |
| 1145 | Brazoria, Tex. |
| 1150 | Bremerton, Wash. |
| 1240 | Brownsville, Tex. |
| 1260 | Bryan, Tex. |
| 1320 | Canton, Ohio |
| 1360 | Cedar Rapids, Iowa |
| 1400 | Champaign-Urbana, Ill. |
| 1440 | Charleston, S.C. |
| 1480 | Charleston, W.Va. |
| 1540 | Charlottesville, Va. |
| 1560 | Chattanooga, Tenn. |
| 1660 | Clarksville, Tenn. |

| 1720 | Colorado Springs, Colo. |
|------|---|
| 1740 | Columbia, Mo. |
| 1760 | Columbia, S.C. |
| 1800 | Columbus, Ga. |
| 1880 | Corpus Christi, Tex. |
| 1900 | Cumberland, Md. |
| 1950 | Danville, Va. |
| 1960 | Davenport, Iowa |
| 2020 | Daytona Beach, Fla. |
| 2040 | Decatur, Ill. |
| 2120 | Des Moine, Iowa |
| 2190 | Dover, Del. |
| | |
| Code | Metropolitan areas with 100,000 to 500,000 population |
| | |
| 2240 | Duluth, Minn. |
| 2330 | Elkhart, Ind. |
| 2360 | Erie, Penn. |
| 2400 | Eugene, Oreg. |
| 2440 | Evansville, Ind. |
| 2520 | Fargo, N.D. |
| 2560 | Fayetteville, N.C. |
| 2580 | Fayetteville, Ark. |
| 2640 | Flint, Mich. |
| 2655 | Florence, S.C. |
| 2670 | Fort Collins, Colo. |
| 2700 | Fort Myers, Fla. |
| 2710 | Fort Pierce, Fla. |
| 2720 | Fort Smith, Ark. |
| 2750 | Fort Walton Beach, Fla. |
| 2760 | Fort Wayne, Ind. |
| 2900 | Gainesville, Fla. |
| 2920 | Galveston, Tex. |
| 2980 | Goldsboro, N.C. |
| 2995 | Grand Junction, Colo. |
| 3060 | Greeley, Colo. |
| 3150 | Greenville, N.C. |
| 3180 | Hagerstown, Md. |
| 3200 | Hamilton, Ohio |
| 3285 | Hattiesburg, Miss. |
| 2200 | II' 1 N.C. |

Hickory, N.C.

| 3350 | Houma, La. |
|------|---|
| 3400 | Huntington, W.Va. |
| 3500 | Iowa City, Iowa |
| 3520 | Jackson, Mich. |
| 3560 | Jackson, Miss. |
| 3580 | Jackson, Tenn. |
| 3605 | Jacksonville, N.C. |
| 3660 | Johnson City, Tenn. |
| 3680 | Johnstown, Pa. |
| 3710 | Joplin, Mo. |
| 3720 | Kalamozoo, Mich. |
| 3740 | Kankakee, Ill. |
| 3810 | Killeen, Tex. |
| 3850 | Kokomo, Ind. |
| 3880 | Lafayette, La. |
| Code | Metropolitan areas with 100,000 to 500,000 population |
| 3920 | Lafayette, Ind. |
| 3960 | Lake Charles, La. |
| 3980 | Lakeland, Fla. |
| 4000 | Lancaster, Pa. |
| 4040 | Lansing, Mich. |
| 4080 | Laredo, Tex. |
| 4100 | Las Cruces, N.Mex. |
| 4200 | Lawton, Okla. |
| 4243 | Lewiston, Maine |
| 4280 | Lexington, Ky. |
| 4320 | Lima, Ohio |
| 4360 | Lincoln, Nebr. |
| 4420 | Longview, Tex. |
| 4600 | Lubbock, Tex. |
| 4640 | Lynchburg, Va. |
| 4680 | Macon, Ga. |
| 4800 | Mansfield, Ohio |
| 4890 | Medford, Oreg. |
| 4900 | Melbourne, Fla. |
| 5200 | Monroe, La. |
| 5280 | Muncie, Ind. |
| 5330 | Myrtle Beach, S.C. |
| 5345 | Naples, Fla. |
| | |

5523 New London, Conn. 5660 Newburgh, N.Y. 5790 Ocala, Fla. 5800 Odessa, Tex. 5910 Olympia, Wash. 6015 Panama City, Fla. 6020 Parkersburg, W.Va. 6080 Pensacola, Fla. Peoria, Ill. 6120 Portland, Maine 6403 6560 Pueblo, Colo. 6580 Punta Gorda, Fla. 6680 Reading, Pa. 6720 Reno, Nev. 6740 Richland, Wash. 6800 Roanoka, Va. 6820 Rochester, Minn. Code Metropolitan areas with 100,000 to 500,000 population 6880 Rockford, Ill. 6895 Rocky Mount, N.C. 6960 Saginaw, Mich. 6980 Saint Cloud, Minn. 7080 Salem, Oreg. 7200 San Angelo, Tex. Santa Fe, N.Mex. 7490 7520 Savannah, Ga. 7610 Sharon, Pa. Sherman, Tex. 7640 7680 Shreveport, La. 7720 Sioux City, Iowa 7800 South Bend, Ind. 7840 Spokane, Wash. 7880 Springfield, Ill. 7920 Springfield, Mo. State College, Pa. 8050 8080 Steubenville, Ohio 8140 Sumter, S.C. 8240 Tallahassee, Fla.

8320

Terre Haute, Ind.

| 8360 | Texarkana, Tex. |
|------|---------------------|
| 8440 | Topeka, Kans. |
| 8480 | Trenton, N.J. |
| 8640 | Tyler, Tex. |
| 8720 | Vallejo, Calif. |
| 8760 | Vineland, N.J. |
| 8800 | Waco, Tex. |
| 8920 | Waterloo, Iowa |
| 9000 | Wheeling, W.Va. |
| 9080 | Wichita Falls, Tex. |
| 9140 | Williamsport, Pa. |
| 9200 | Wilmington, N.C. |
| 9260 | Yakima, Wash. |
| 9280 | York, Pa. |

Appendix C: Health Districts

Listed below are the counties which comprise each health district included in the data set. The county name is preceded by its Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code (see *Worldwide Geographic Location Codes*, available from the General Services Administration, telephone 202-219-0077).

Florida

| Healt | h District 1 | | 67 75 | Lafayette | Healt | h District 8 |
|--------|--------------|-----|-----------|--------------|--------|---------------|
| 22 | г 1: | | 75 107 | Levy | 1.5 | Cl. 1.4 |
| 33 | Escambia | | 107 | Putnam | 15 | Charlotte |
| 91 | Okalossa | | 121 | Suwannee | 21 | Collier |
| 113 | Santa Rosa | | 125 | Union | 27 | De Soto |
| 131 | Walton | | | | 43 | Glades |
| | | | | | 51 | Hendry |
| | | | Healtl | h District 4 | 71 | Lee |
| Healtl | h District 2 | | | | 115 | Sarasota |
| | | | 3 | Baker | | |
| 5 | Bay | | 19 | Clay | | |
| 13 | Calhoun | | 31 | Duval | Health | District 9 |
| 37 | Franklin | | 89 | Nassau | | |
| 39 | Gadsden | | 109 | Saint Johns | 99 | Palm Beach |
| 45 | Gulf | | | | | |
| 59 | Holmes | | | | | |
| 63 | Jackson | | Healtl | h District 5 | Healt | h District 10 |
| 65 | Jefferson | | | | | |
| 73 | Leon | | 101 | Pasco | 11 | Broward |
| 77 | Liberty | 103 | Pinella | S | | |
| 79 | Madison | | | | Healt | h District 11 |
| 123 | Taylor | | Healtl | h District 6 | | |
| 129 | Wakulla | | | | 25 | Dade |
| 133 | Washington | | 57 | Hillsborough | 87 | Monroe |
| | C | | 81 | Manatee | | |
| Healt | h District 3 | | | | Healt | h District 12 |
| | | | Healtl | h District 7 | | |
| 1 | Alachua | | | | 35 | Flagler |
| 7 | Bradford | | 9 | Brevard | 127 | Volusia |
| 23 | Columbia | | 95 | Orange | | |
| 29 | Dixie | | 97 | Osceola | | |
| 41 | Gilchrist | | 117 | Seminole | | |
| 47 | Hamilton | | | | | |

Florida

Health District 13

- 17 Citrus
- 53 Hernando
- 69 Lake
- 83 Marion
- 119 Sumter

Health District 14

- 49 Hardee
- 55 Highlands
- 105 Polk

Health District 15

- 61 Indian River
- 85 Martin
- 93 Okeechobee
- 111 Saint Lucie

Idaho

| Healt | h District 1 | | | Health | District 5 |
|-------|--------------|---|----|---------|------------|
| 9 | Benewah | | | 13 | Blaine |
| 17 | Bonner | | | 25 | Camas |
| 21 | Boundary | | | 31 | Cassia |
| 55 | Kootenai | | | 47 | Gooding |
| 79 | Shoshone | | | 53 | Jerome |
| | | | | 63 | Lincoln |
| Healt | h District 2 | | | 67 | Minidoka |
| | | | | 83 | Twin Falls |
| 35 | Clearwater | | | | |
| 49 | Idaho | | | Health | District 6 |
| 57 | Latah | | | | |
| 61 | Lewis | | | 5 | Bannock |
| 69 | Nez Perce | | | 7 | Bear Lake |
| | | | | 11 | Bingham |
| Healt | h District 3 | | | 23 | Butte |
| | | | | 29 | Caribou |
| 3 | Adams | 2 | 41 | Fanklin | l |
| 27 | Canyon | | | 71 | Oneida |
| 45 | Gem | | | 77 | Power |
| 73 | Owyhee | | | | |
| 75 | Payette | | | Health | District 7 |
| 87 | Washington | | | | |
| | C | | | 19 | Bonneville |
| Healt | h District 4 | | | 33 | Clark |
| | | | | 37 | Custer |
| 1 | Ada | | | 43 | Fremont |
| 15 | Boise | | | 51 | Jefferson |
| 39 | Elmore | | 59 | Lemhi | |
| 85 | Valley | | | 65 | Madison |
| | 3 | | | 81 | Teton |
| | | | | | |

Kentucky

| Healt | h District 1 | | 99 141 | Hart | | Healt | h District 8 |
|------------|--------------|-----|-----------|-------------------------|------|--------|---------------|
| 7 | Ballard | 169 | Metca | Logan Ife | 23 | Brack | en |
| 35 | Calloway | 10) | 171 | Monroe | 23 | 69 | Fleming |
| 39 | Carlisle | | 213 | Simpson | | 135 | Lewis |
| 75 | Fulton | | 227 | Warren | | 161 | Mason |
| 83 | Graves | | 221 | vv arren | 201 | Rober | |
| 105 | Hickman | | | | 201 | Rober | 13011 |
| 145 | McCracken | | Healt | h District 5 | | | |
| | Marshall | | Heart | II District 3 | | Haalt | h District 9 |
| 157 | Maishan | | 27 | Brackinridge | | Hean | II DISUICE 9 |
| | | | 85 | Breckinridge Grayson | | 11 | Bath |
| I I a a 14 | h Diatriat 2 | | | • | 1.65 | | |
| пеан | h District 2 | | 93 | Hardin | 165 | Menife | |
| 22 | Coldwall | | 123 | Larue | | 173 | Montgomery |
| 33 | Caldwell | | 155 | Marion | | 175 | Morgan |
| 47 5.5 | Christian | | 163 | Meade | | 205 | Rowan |
| 55 | Crittenden | | 179 | Nelson | | | |
| 107 | Hopkins | | 229 | Washington | | TT 14 | 1 D: . : . 10 |
| 139 | Livingston | | | | | Healt | h District 10 |
| 143 | Lyon | | | | | | |
| 177 | Muhlenberg | | Healt | h District 6 | | 19 | Boyd |
| 219 | Todd | | | | | 43 | Carter |
| 221 | Trigg | | 29 | Bullitt | | 63 | Elliott |
| | | | 103 | Henry | | 89 | Greenup |
| | | | 111 | Jefferson | | 127 | Lawrence |
| Healt | h District 3 | | 185 | Oldham | | | |
| | | | 211 | Shelby | | | |
| 59 | Daviess | | 215 | Spencer | | Healt | h District 11 |
| 91 | Hancock | | 223 | Trimble | | | |
| 101 | Henderson | | | | | 71 | Floyd |
| 149 | McLean | | | | | 115 | Johnson |
| 183 | Ohio | | Healt | h District 7 | | 153 | Magoffin |
| 225 | Union | | | | | 159 | Martin |
| 233 | Webster | | 15 | Boone | | 195 | Pike |
| | | | 37 | Campbell | | | |
| | | | 41 | Carroll | | | |
| Healt | h District 4 | | 77 | Gallatin | | | |
| | | | 81 | Grant | | | |
| 3 | Allen | | 117 | Kenton | | | |
| 9 | Barren | | 187 | Owen | | | |
| 31 | Butler | | 191 | Pendleton | | | |
| 61 | Edmonson | | | | | | |

Kentucky

Health District 12

25 **Breathitt** 119 Knott 129 Lee 131 Leslie 133 Letcher 189 Owsley 193 Perry 237 Wolfe

Health District 13

Bell

13

| 51 | Clay |
|-----|------------|
| 95 | Harlan |
| 109 | Jackson |
| 121 | Knox |
| 125 | Laurel |
| 203 | Rockcastle |
| 235 | Whitley |

Health District 14

1 Adair Casey 45 53 Clinton Cumberland 57 87 Green McCreary 147 199 Pulaski 207 Russell 217 Taylor Wayne 231

Health District 15

5 Anderson Bourbon 17 Boyle 21 Clark 49 65 Estill 67 Fayette 73 Franklin 79 Garrard 97 Harrison Jessamine 113 137 Lincoln 151 Madison 167 Mercer 181 Nicholas 197 Powell 209 Scott Woodford 239

Mississippi

| Healt | h District 1 | | Healt | h District 4 | | Healt | th District 7 |
|---|---|----|---|---|----------|---|---|
| 27 33 43 107 119 135 137 143 161 | Coahoma De Soto Grenada Panola Quitman Tallahatchie Tate Tunica Yalobusha | | 13 17 19 25 87 95 103 105 155 159 | Calhoun Chickasaw Choctaw Clay Loundes Monroe Noxubee Oktibbeha Webster Winston | | 1 5 37 63 77 85 113 147 157 | Adams Amite Franklin Jefferson Lawrence Lincoln Pike Walthall Wilkinson |
| Healt | th District 2 | | | | | Healt | th District 8 |
| 3 9 57 71 81 93 115 117 139 141 145 | Alcorn Benton Itawamba Lafayette Lee Marshall Pontotoc Prentiss Tippah Tishomingo Union | 21 | Claibo 29 49 55 89 121 125 127 149 163 | h District 5 orne Copiah Hinds Issaquena Madison Rankin Sharkey Simpson Warren Yazoo | 35 91 | 31 Forres 41 65 67 73 Mario 111 153 | Greene Jefferson Davis Jones Lamar |
| | th District 3 | | | h District 6 | | 39 45 | George Hancock |
| 7 11 15 51 53 83 97 133 151 | Attala Bolivar Carroll Holmes Humphreys Leflore Montgomery Sunflower Washington | 61 | 23 Jasper 69 75 79 99 101 123 129 | Clarke Kemper Lauderdale Leake Neshoba Newton Scott Smith | 59 | 47 Jackso 109 131 | Harrison on Pearl River Stone |

Montana

| Health District 1 | | Heal | Health District 3 | | Health District 5 | | |
|-------------------|--------------|------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|--|--|
| 11 | Carter | 3 | Big Horn | 29 | Flathead | | |
| 17 | Custer | 9 | Carbon | 47 | Lake | | |
| 19 | Daniels | 27 | Fergus | 53 | Lincoln | | |
| 21 | Dawson | 37 | Golden Valley | | | | |
| 25 | Fallon | 45 | Judith Basin | Healt | th District 6 | | |
| 33 | Garfield | 65 | Musselshell | | | | |
| 55 | McCone | 69 | Petroleum | 61 | Mineral | | |
| 71 | Phillips | 95 | Stillwater | 63 | Missoula | | |
| 75 | Powder River | 97 | Sweet Grass | 81 | Ravalli | | |
| 79 | Prairie | 107 | Wheatland | 89 | Sanders | | |
| 83 | Richland | 111 | Yellowstone | | | | |
| 85 | Roosevelt | | | | | | |
| 87 | Rosebud | | | | | | |
| 91 | Sheridan | Heal | th District 4 | | | | |
| 103 | Treasure | | | | | | |
| 105 | Valley | 1 | Beaverhead | | | | |
| 109 | Wibaux | 7 | Broadwater | | | | |
| | | 23 | Deer Lodge | | | | |
| | | 31 | Gallatin | | | | |
| Healt | h District 2 | 39 | Granite | | | | |
| | | 43 | Jefferson | | | | |
| 5 | Blaine | 49 | Lewis and Clark | | | | |
| 13 | Cascade | 57 | Madison | | | | |
| 15 | Chouteau | 59 | Meagher | | | | |
| 35 | Glacier | 67 | Park | | | | |
| 41 | Hill | 77 | Powell | | | | |
| 51 | Liberty | 93 | Silver Bow | | | | |
| 73 | Pondera | | | | | | |
| 99 | Teton | | | | | | |
| 101 | Toole | | | | | | |

Nebraska

| Healt | h District 1 | | 109 125 | Lancaster Nemaha | | Healt | th District 5 |
|-------|--------------|-----|------------|---------------------|-----|--------|---------------|
| 3 | Antelope | | 131 | Otoe | | 5 | Arther |
| 11 | Boone | | 133 | Pawnee | | 29 | Chase |
| 15 | Boyd | | 143 | Polk | | 47 | Dawson |
| 17 | Brown | | 147 | Richardson | | 57 | Dundy |
| 21 | Burt | | 151 | Saline | | 63 | Frontier |
| 27 | Cedar | | 155 | Saunders | | 65 | Furnas |
| 31 | Cherry | 159 | Sewai | | 73 | Gospe | |
| 37 | Colfac | 107 | 169 | Thayer | 75 | Grant | - |
| 39 | Cuming | | 185 | York | , - | 85 | Hayes |
| 43 | Dakota | | | | | 87 | Hitchcock |
| 51 | Dixon | | Healt | th District 4 | | 91 | Hooker |
| 89 | Holt | | | | | 101 | Keith |
| 103 | Keya Paha | | 1 | Adams | 111 | Lincol | n |
| 107 | Knox | | 9 | Blaine | | 113 | Logan |
| 119 | Madison | | 19 | Buffalo | 117 | McPh | |
| 125 | Nance | | 35 | Clay | | 135 | Perkins |
| 139 | Pierce | | 41 | Custer | | 145 | Red Willow |
| 141 | Platte | | 61 | Franklin | | | |
| 149 | Rock | | 71 | Garfield | | | |
| 167 | Stanton | | 77 | Greeley | | Healt | th District 6 |
| 173 | Thurston | | 79 | Hall | | | |
| 179 | Wayne | 81 | Hamil | ton | 7 | Banne | er |
| | - | | 83 | Harlan | | 13 | Box Butte |
| Healt | h District 2 | | 93 | Howard | | 33 | Cheyenne |
| | | | 99 | Kearney | | 45 | Dawes |
| 53 | Dodge | | 115 | Loup | | 49 | Deuel |
| 55 | Douglas | | 121 | Merrick | | 69 | Garden |
| 153 | Sarpy | | 129 | Nuckolls | | 105 | Kimball |
| 177 | Washington | | 137 | Phelps | | 123 | Morrill |
| | _ | | 163 | Sherman | | 137 | Scotts Bluff |
| Healt | h District 3 | | 175 | Valley | | 161 | Sheridan |
| | | | 181 | Webster | | 165 | Sioux |
| 23 | Butler | | 183 | Wheeler | | | |
| 25 | Cass | | | | | | |
| 59 | Fillmore | | | | | | |
| 67 | Gage | | | | | | |
| 95 | Jefferson | | | | | | |
| 97 | Johnson | | | | | | |

New Mexico

Health District 1

Health District 4

- 1 Bernalillo
 6 Cibola
 31 McKinley
 43 Sandoval
 45 San juan
 57 Torrance
 61 Valencia

Health District 2

- 7 Colfax
- 21 Harding
- 28 Los Alamos
- 33 Mora
- 39 Rio Arriba
- 47 San Miguel
- 49 Santa Fe
- 55 Taos
- 59 Union

Health District 3

- 3 Catron
- 13 Dona Ana
- 17 Grant
- 23 Hidalgo
- 29 Luna
- 35 Otero
- 51 Sierra
- 53 Socorro

- 5 Chaves
- 9 Curry
- 11 De Baca
- 15 Eddy
- 19 Guadalupe
- 25 Lea
- 27 Lincoln
- 37 Quay
- 41 Roosevelt

South Carolina

| Healt | th District 1 | Heal | th District 7 | Heal | Health District 11 | | |
|----------------------|---|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 7 73 | Anderson Oconee | 3 5 11 | Aiken Allendale Barnwell | 1 37 47 59 | Abbeville Edgefield Greenwood Laurens | | |
| Healt | th District 2 | II a d | th District 8 | 65 | McCormick | | |
| 45 77 | Greenville Pickens | 39 63 71 | Fairfield Lexington Newberry | 81 Heal | Saluda th District 12 | | |
| Healt | th District 3 | 79 | Richland | 43 51 | Georgetown Horry | | |
| 21 83 87 | Cherokee Spartanburg Union | Heal | th District 9 | 89 | Williamsburg | | |
| | | 25 31 | Chesterfield Darlington | Heal | th District 13 | | |
| Healt | th District 4 | 69 | Marlboro | 27 55 | Clarendon Kershaw | | |
| 23 57 91 | Chester Lancaster York | | th District 9 | 61 85 | Lee Sumter | | |
| Healt | th District 5 | 33 41 67 | Dillon Florence Marion | | | | |
| 9 17 75 | Bamberg Calhoun Orangeburg | Heal | th District 10 Berkeley | | | | |
| Healt | th District 6 | 19 35 | Charleston Dorchester | | | | |
| 13 29 49 53 | Beaufort Colleton Hampton Jasper | | | | | | |

Tennessee

| Healt | h District 1 | Heal | Health District 3 | | | Health District 5 | |
|-------|--------------|------|-------------------|-----|---------|-------------------|--|
| 5 | Benton | 3 | Bedford | | 7 | Bledsoe | |
| 17 | Carroll | 31 | Coffee | | 11 | Bradley | |
| 23 | Chester | 55 | Giles | | 51 | Franklin | |
| 33 | Crockett | 81 | Hickman | | 61 | Grundy | |
| 39 | Decatur | 99 | Lawrence | | 107 | Meminn | |
| 45 | Dyer | 101 | Lewis | | 115 | Marion | |
| 47 | Fayette | 103 | Lincoln | | 121 | Meigs | |
| 53 | Gibson | 117 | Marshall | | 139 | Polk | |
| 69 | Hardeman | 119 | Maury | | 143 | Rhea | |
| 71 | Hardin | 127 | Moore | | 153 | Sequatchie | |
| 75 | Haywood | 135 | Perry | | | | |
| 77 | Henderson | 181 | Wayne | | | | |
| 79 | Henry | | | | Healt | th District 6 | |
| 95 | Lake | | | | | | |
| 97 | Lauderdale | Heal | th District 4 | | 1 | Anderson | |
| 109 | Menairy | | | | 9 | Blount | |
| 131 | Obion | 15 | Cannon | | 13 | Campbell | |
| 167 | Tipton | 27 | Clay | | 25 | Claiborne | |
| 183 | Weakley | 35 | Cumberland | | 29 | Cocke | |
| | | 41 | Dekalb | | 57 | Grainger | |
| | | 49 | Fentress | | 59 | Greene | |
| Healt | h District 2 | 87 | Jackson | | 63 | Hamblen | |
| | | 111 | Macon | 89 | Jeffers | | |
| 21 | Cheatham | 133 | Overton | | 105 | Loudon | |
| 43 | Dickson | 137 | Pickett | 123 | Monro | oe | |
| 83 | Houston | 141 | Putnam | | 129 | Morgan | |
| 85 | Humphreys | 159 | Smith | | 145 | Roane | |
| 125 | Montgomery | 175 | Van Buren | | 151 | Scott | |
| 147 | Robertson | 177 | Warren | | 155 | Seiver | |
| 149 | Rutherford | 185 | White | | 173 | Union | |
| 161 | Stewart | | | | | | |
| 165 | Sumner | | | | | | |
| 169 | Trousdale | | | | | | |
| 187 | Williamson | | | | | | |
| 189 | Wilson | | | | | | |

Tennessee

Health District 7

- 19 Carter
- 67 Hancock
- 73 Hawkins
- 91 Johnson
- 171 Unicoi
- Washington

Health District 8

157 Shelby

Health District 9

113 Madison

Health District 10

37 Davidson

Health District 11

65 Hamilton

Health District 12

93 Knox

Health District 13

163 Sullivan

Virginia

| Healt | h District 1 | 510 600 | Alexandria City Fairfax City | | 590 640 | Danville City Galax City |
|-------|----------------------|------------|---------------------------------|--------|------------|-----------------------------|
| 3 | Albemarle | 610 | Falls Church City | | 680 | Lynchburg City |
| 15 | Augusta | 683 | Manassas City | 690 | | sville City |
| 17 | Bath | 685 | Manassas Park City | 070 | 720 | Norton Cith |
| 33 | Caroline | 000 | Transaction 1 than 1 th | | 750 | Radford Cith |
| 43 | Clarke | | | | 770 | Roanoke City |
| 47 | Culpeper | Healt | h District 3 | | 775 | Salem City |
| 61 | Fauquier | | | | | - |
| 65 | Fluvanna | 5 | Alleghany | | | |
| 69 | Frederick | 9 | Amherst | | Healt | h District 4 |
| 79 | Greene | 11 | Appomattox | | | |
| 91 | Highland | 19 | Bedford | | 7 | Amelia |
| 99 | King George | 21 | Bland | | 25 | Brunswick |
| 109 | Louisa | 23 | Botetourt | | 29 | Buckingham |
| 113 | Madison | 27 | Buchanan | | 36 | Charles City |
| 125 | Nelson 31 | Campl | pell | 37 | Charlo | tte |
| 137 | Orange | 35 | Carroll | 41 | Cheste | rfield |
| 139 | Page | 45 | Craig | | 49 | Cumberland |
| 157 | Rappahannock | 51 | Dickenson | | 53 | Dinwiddie |
| 163 | Rockbridge | 63 | Floyd | | 75 | Goochland |
| 165 | Rockingham | 67 | Franklin | | 81 | Greensville |
| 171 | Shenandoah | 71 | Giles | | 83 | Halifax |
| 177 | Spotsylvania 77 | Grayso | on | 85 | Hanov | er |
| 179 | Stafford | 89 | Henry | | 87 | Henrico |
| 187 | Warren | 105 | Lee | | 111 | Lunenburg |
| 530 | Buena Vista City | 121 | Montgomery | | 117 | Mecklenburg |
| 540 | Charlottesville City | 141 | Patrick | 127 | New K | Cent |
| 630 | Fredericksburg City | 143 | Pittsylvania | | 135 | Nottoway |
| 660 | Harrisonburg City | 155 | Pulaski | 145 | Powha | ıtan |
| 678 | Lexington City | 161 | Roanoke | | 147 | Prince Edward |
| 790 | Staunton City 167 | Russel | | Prince | George | |
| 820 | Waynesboro City | 169 | Scott | | 181 | Surry |
| 840 | Winchester City | 173 | Smyth | | 183 | Sussex |
| | | 185 | Tazewell | | 570 | Colonial Heights City |
| | | 191 | Washington | | 595 | Emporia City |
| Healt | h District 2 | 195 | Wise | | 670 | Hopewell City |
| | | 197 | Wythe | | 730 | Petersburg City |
| 13 | Arlington | 515 | Bedford City | | 760 | Richmond City |
| 59 | Fairfax | 520 | Bristol City | | | |
| 107 | Loudoun | 560 | Clifton Forge City | | | |
| 153 | Prince William 580 | Coving | gton City | | | |

Virginia

Health District 5

- 1 Accomack
- 57 Essex
- 73 Gloucester
- 93 Isle of Wight
- 95 James City
- 97 King and Queen
- 101 King William
- 103 Lancaster
- 115 Mathews
- 119 Middlesex
- Northampton
- Northumberland
- 159 Richmond
- 175 Southampton
- 193 Westmoreland
- 199 York
- 550 Chesapeake City
- 620 Franklin City
- 650 Hampton City
- 700 Newport News City
- 710 Norfolk City
- 735 Poquoson City
- 740 Portsmouth City
- 800 Suffolk City
- 810 Virginia Beach City
- 830 Williamsburg City

West Virginia

| Healt | h District 1 | | Healt | h District 5 | | Health | District 8 |
|-----------------------------------|--|----|---|---|----|--|---|
| 47 55 63 81 89 109 | McDowell Mercer Monroe Raleigh Summers Wyoming | | 13 35 73 85 87 95 105 | Calhoun Jackson Pleasants Ritchie Roane Tyler Wirt Wood | 31 | 3 23 27 Hardy 37 57 65 71 | Berkeley Grant Hampshire Jefferson Mineral Morgan Pendleton |
| Healt | h District 2 | | | | | | |
| 11 43 | Cabell Lincoln | | Healt | h District 6 | | | |
| 45 | Logan | | 9 | Brooke | | | |
| 53 | Mason | | 29 | Hancock | | | |
| 59 | Mingo | | 51 | Marshall | | | |
| 99 | Wayne | 69 | Ohio 103 | Wetzel | | | |
| Healt | h District 3 | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | Healt | h District 7 | | | |
| 5 | Boone | | | | | | |
| 15 | Clay | | 1 | Barbour | | | |
| 39 | Kanawha | | 17 | Doddridge | | | |
| 79 | Putnam | | 21 33 | Gilmer Harrison | | | |
| | | | 33 41 | Lewis | | | |
| Healtl | h District 4 | | 49 | Marion | | | |
| Heard | ii District 4 | | 61 | Monongalia | | | |
| 7 | Braxton | | 77 | Preston | | | |
| 19 | Fayette | | 83 | Randolph | | | |
| 25 | Greenbrier | | 91 | Taylor | | | |
| 67 | Nicholas | | 93 | Tucker | | | |
| 75 | Pocahontas | | 97 | Upshur | | | |
| 101 | Webster | | | _ | | | |
| | | | | | | | |